| | Case 1:24-cv-01106-KES-SAB | Document 15 | Filed 07/29/25 | Page 1 of 2 | | | | |
|----|---|---------------|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | | | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | TRAMMELL GLENN ROBINSO | N, III, Ca | ase No. 1:24-cv-011 | 06-KES-SAB | | | | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | Ol | RDER ADOPTING | FINDINGS AND | | | | |
| 13 | V. | PI | | ON TO PROCEED IN | | | | |
| 14 | RIDGECREST SUPERIOR COUF | RT et al., PI | FORMA PAUPERIS, AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PAY FILING FEE WITHIN 30 DAYS | | | | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | Do | ocs. 2, 12 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action. This matter was | | | | | | | |
| 19 | referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 302. | | | | | | | |
| 21 | On September 27, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and | | | | | | | |
| 22 | recommendations recommending that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis be denied | | | | | | | |
| 23 | because plaintiff's prisoner trust account reflected that he has sufficient funds to pay the \$405.00 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | filing fee for this action. Doc. 12. Those findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff | | | | | | | |
| 25 | and notified him that any objections thereto were due within 14 days of service. <i>Id.</i> at 3. Plaintiff | | | | | | | |
| 26 | filed objections on October 7, 2024, in which he explains the source of the funds in his account, | | | | | | | |
| 27 | states that he is not concerned about paying the filing fee to proceed, and asks if there is a way to | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | |

| | Case 1:24-cv | -01106-KES-SAB | Document 15 | Filed 07/29/25 | Page 2 of 2 | | | |
|------------------|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | continue this case. Doc. 14. | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings and recommendations | | | | | | | |
| 4 | are supported by the record and proper analysis. | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Accordingly: | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 1. | The findings and recommendations issued on September 27, 2024, Doc. 12, are | | | | | | |
| 7 | | adopted in full; | | | | | | |
| 8 | 2. | Plaintiff's applicati | ion to proceed in fo | orma pauperis, Doc | . 2, is denied; and | | | |
| 9 | 3. | Within 30 days fol | lowing the date of | service of this Orde | er, plaintiff shall pay the | | | |
| 10 | | \$405.00 filing fee i | n full if he wishes | to proceed with thi | s action. If plaintiff fails | | | |
| 11 | | to pay the filing fee | e as ordered, this a | ction may be dismi | ssed without further | | | |
| 12 | | notice. | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | Lith Shirts | | | | |
| 16 | Dated: _ | July 29, 2025 | - | INITED STATES | DISTRICT JUDGE | | | |
| 17 | | | · | | DISTRICT VED GE | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 <i>1</i> 28 | | | | | | | | |